

LOCATION OF THE ZEROS OF POLYNOMIALS WITH A PRESCRIBED NORM

BY

Q. I. RAHMAN AND G. SCHMEISSER

ABSTRACT. For monic polynomials $f_n(z)$ of degree n with prescribed L^p norm ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) on the unit circle or supremum norm on the unit interval we determine bounded regions in the complex plane containing at least k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) zeros. We deduce our results from some new inequalities which are similar to an inequality of Vicente Gonçalves and relate the zeros of a polynomial to its norm.

The location of some or all the zeros of a polynomial

$$f_n(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j \quad (a_j \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq j \leq n)$$

in terms of its coefficients has been extensively studied (see [3, Chapters VII–IX]). We may as well investigate the location of the zeros of $f_n(z)$ in terms of a given norm. Such a problem is of interest in the theory of approximation [1, see §5]. Since multiplication by a nonzero constant does not change the zeros of $f_n(z)$, norm alone cannot furnish any information regarding the location of any of the zeros. As a normalization we shall assume $f_n(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ to be monic, i.e. the coefficient of z^n will be supposed to be 1. As typical norms we consider L^p norms on the unit circle and on the unit interval:

$$(1) \quad \|f_n\|_p = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f_n(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} \quad (1 \leq p < \infty), \quad \|f_n\|_{\infty} = \max_{-\pi \leq \theta < \pi} |f_n(e^{i\theta})|,$$

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{M}_p(f_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 |f_n(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \quad (1 \leq p < \infty), \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\infty}(f_n) = \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |f_n(x)|;$$

We wish to determine the radius $R(n, k, p, N)$ of the smallest disk centered at the origin containing at least k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) zeros of every polynomial $f_n(z) =$

Received by the editors March 19, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30A04, 30A06, 30A08; Secondary 30A40, 41A10.

Key words and phrases. Location of zeros, inequalities for polynomials, best approximation by polynomials, extremal problems.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

$z^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j z^j$ of degree n with $\|f_n\|_p = N$. In case N is given to be the supremum norm on the unit interval it turns out to be appropriate to find out the sum $\rho(n, k, \infty, N)$ of the semiaxes of the ellipse with foci at $-1, 1$ and containing at least k zeros of every polynomial $f_n(z) = z^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j z^j$ with $\mathfrak{M}_\infty(f_n) = N$.

Quite a few results giving bounds for the zeros depending on the moduli of coefficients may be found in [3]. Since $\|f_n\|_2$ is expressible in terms of the coefficients some of these results may be used to determine estimates for $R(n, k, 2, N)$. For example, the polynomial $f_n(z) = z^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j z^j$ is known to have (see [3, (27, Formula 19)]) all its zeros in $|z| < (1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |a_j|^2)^{1/2}$. Since $(1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |a_j|^2)^{1/2} \equiv \|f_n\|_2$ this shows that $R(n, n, 2, N) < N$. But $R(n, n, 2, N)$ is easily seen to be equal to the positive root $R(n, N)$ of the equation

$$(3) \quad R^{2n} - (N^2 - 1) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} R^{2\nu} = 0.$$

In fact, if ζ is a zero of the polynomial $f_n(z) = z^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j z^j$ with $\|f_n\|_2 = N$, then

$$|\zeta|^n = \left| - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j \zeta^j \right| \leq \left\{ (N^2 - 1) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\zeta|^{2j} \right\}^{1/2}$$

which shows that the largest positive root $R(n, N)$ of (3) is a bound for the moduli of all the zeros. Given $N \geq 1$

$$f_n(z) = z^n - (N^2 - 1) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{z^j}{\{R(n, N)\}^{n-j}}$$

is a polynomial of degree n with $\|f_n\|_2 = N$ and having a zero on $|z| = R(n, N)$. Substituting $R^2 = N^2 - \alpha$ in (3) we get $(N^2 - 1)/N^{2n} = \alpha(1 - \alpha/N^2)^n$. Hence for fixed n and large N , $\alpha = O(1/N^{2(n-1)})$, i.e.

$$(4) \quad R = N(1 - O(N^{-2n})).$$

If $1 \leq k < n$ an upper estimate for $R(n, k, 2, N)$ can be deduced from the following result of Vicente Gonçalves ([10], also see [4] and [3, Exercise 4, p. 130]).

Theorem A. Consider the polynomial $f_n(z) = z^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j z^j$ and let $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n$ denote the zeros of $f_n(z)$ in an arbitrary order. Then for $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$(5) \quad |\zeta_1 \zeta_2 \cdots \zeta_{k-1}|^2 + |\zeta_k \zeta_{k+1} \cdots \zeta_n|^2 \leq \|f_n\|_2^2,$$

where for $k = 1$ the first term on the left-hand side is to be replaced by 1.

In particular

$$(6) \quad R(n, 1, 2, N) \leq (N^2 - 1)^{1/(2n)}.$$

The example $f_n(z) = z^n + (N^2 - 1)^{1/2}$ ($N \geq 1$) shows that, in fact,

$$(6^*) \quad R(n, 1, 2, N) \equiv (N^2 - 1)^{1/(2n)}.$$

For $p \neq 2$ the known bounds for the moduli of the zeros in terms of the coefficients do not seem to be of much avail. But Jensen's formula gives (see [9, §3.61], and [7, §9, p. 21])

$$(7) \quad R(n, k, p, N) \leq N^{1/(n-k+1)} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq p \leq \infty)$$

which for $p = 2$ is weaker than what is obtainable from (5). So, in order to improve on (7) we seek to extend (5) to values of p other than 2. In the case $p = \infty$ this is done with the help of the following inequality due to Visser [11]:

$$(8) \quad |a_0| + |a_n| \leq \max_{|z|=1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j \right|.$$

For $1 < p \leq 2$ we use

$$(9) \quad (|a_0|^q + |a_n|^q)^{1/q} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{j=0}^n a_j e^{ij\theta} \right|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} \quad (1 < p \leq 2, p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1)$$

which is a weaker form of the Hausdorff-Young inequality [13, p. 101].

From (8), (9) we deduce the following generalization of the inequality of Vicente Gonçalves (loc. cit.).

Theorem 1. Consider the polynomial $f_n(z) = z^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j z^j$ and let $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n$ denote the zeros of $f_n(z)$ in an arbitrary order. Then for $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$(10) \quad (|\zeta_1 \zeta_2 \cdots \zeta_{k-1}|^q + |\zeta_k \zeta_{k+1} \cdots \zeta_n|^q)^{1/q} \leq \|f_n\|_p \quad (p = \infty \text{ or } 1 < p \leq 2, p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1)$$

where for $k = 1$ the term $|\zeta_1 \zeta_2 \cdots \zeta_{k-1}|^q$ on the left-hand side is to be replaced by 1.

Proof. It is clear that if Theorem 1 holds for monic polynomials not vanishing at the origin then it also holds for those which have a simple or a multiple zero at the origin. So let $\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}, \dots, \zeta_n$ be different from zero and apply (8), (9) to

$$g_n(z) = f_n(z) \prod_{j=k}^n \left(\frac{\bar{\zeta}_j z - 1}{z - \zeta_j} \right).$$

Since $|f_n(z)| = |g_n(z)|$ for $|z| = 1$ we get

$$\left(\left| \frac{a_0}{\zeta_k \zeta_{k+1} \cdots \zeta_n} \right|^q + |\bar{\zeta}_k \bar{\zeta}_{k+1} \cdots \bar{\zeta}_n|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq \|f_n\|_p$$

$$(p = \infty, 1 < p \leq 2, p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1)$$

which is equivalent to (10).

Remark 1. The example $f_n(z) = z^n + 1$ shows that (10) is false for $2 < p < \infty$. In fact, for $2 < p < \infty$

$$\|\frac{1}{2}(z^n + 1)\|_p^p < \|\frac{1}{2}(z^n + 1)\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}$$

so that $\|z^n + 1\|_p < 2^{(1/2)^{1/p}} = 2^{1/q} = (1^q + 1^q)^{1/q}$.

Remark 2 (*The case of equality in (10)*). In (8) equality holds if and only if $a_j = 0$ for $j \neq 0, n$. The same is true of (9) if $p = 2$. If $1 < p < 2$ and $a_n \neq 0$ then by a result in [13] (see (2.25) on p. 105) there is strict inequality in (9) unless $a_j = 0$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Taking these facts into account and excluding the trivial case of $f_n(z) = z^n$ the proof of Theorem 1 shows that in (10) equality is not possible for $1 < p < 2$ and that for $p = \infty$, $p = 2$ equality holds if and only if $f_n(z)$ has the form

$$\prod_{\nu=1}^{k-1} (z - R^{-1}e^{i(\alpha+\phi\nu)}) \prod_{\nu=k}^n (z - Re^{i(\alpha+\phi\nu)})$$

where $\{e^{i\phi\nu}\}_{\nu=1}^n$ are the n th roots of unity in arbitrary order, R is an arbitrary positive number and α an arbitrary real number.

Remark 3. It is seen from Jensen's formula that inequality (10) may be extended to cover the case $p = 1$ by replacing the left-hand side by its limiting value (as $p \rightarrow 1$) $\max(|\zeta_1 \zeta_2 \cdots \zeta_{k-1}|, |\zeta_k \zeta_{k+1} \cdots \zeta_n|)$.

Remark 4. If $f_n(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n then by an inequality of Zygmund [12] we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f'_n(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \leq \gamma_p n^p \int_0^{2\pi} |\operatorname{Re} f_n(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \quad (p \geq 1)$$

where

$$(11) \quad \gamma_p = \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}p + 1) / \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(p + 1)).$$

Applying Theorem 1 to $f'_n(z)$ and noting that $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_p^{1/p} = 1$ we obtain the following result on the location of critical points of $f_n(z)$.

Corollary 1. Denote by $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{n-1}$ the critical points of a monic polynomial $f_n(z)$ of degree n . Then for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$

$$(|\eta_1 \eta_2 \cdots \eta_{k-1}|^q + |\eta_k \eta_{k+1} \cdots \eta_{n-1}|^q)^{1/q} \leq \gamma_p^{1/p} \|\operatorname{Re} f_n\|_p$$

$$(1 \leq p \leq 2, p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1)$$

and

$$|\eta_1 \eta_2 \cdots \eta_{k-1}| + |\eta_k \eta_{k+1} \cdots \eta_{n-1}| \leq \|\operatorname{Re} f_n\|_\infty.$$

For $k=1$ the first term on the left hand side in both inequalities is to be replaced by 1.

The next result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. If $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n$ are the zeros of $f_n(z) = z^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j z^j$ arranged in increasing order of moduli then we have

$$|\zeta_1| \leq R(n, 1, p, N) \leq (N^q - 1)^{1/(qn)}$$

$$(12) \quad (p = \infty \text{ or } 1 < p \leq 2, p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1)$$

and for $2 \leq k \leq n$

$$|\zeta_k| \leq |\zeta_k \zeta_{k+1} \cdots \zeta_n|^{1/(n-k+1)}$$

$$(13) \quad \leq (\frac{1}{2} \|f_n\|_p^q + \frac{1}{2} (\|f_n\|_p^{2q} - 4|a_0|^2)^{1/2})^{1/(q(n-k+1))}$$

$$(p = \infty \text{ or } 1 < p \leq 2, p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1).$$

Inequalities (12), (13) are best possible for $p = \infty, 2$. In particular

$$(12^*) \quad R(n, 1, \infty, N) \equiv (N-1)^{1/n} \quad (N \geq 1)$$

which is attained for $f_n(z) = z^n + (N-1)$.

Unfortunately the bound in (13) depends on $|a_0|$. But, in any case, it is at least as good as (7). It may be noted that for large N and $p = 2, \infty$ there is not much room for improvement in (7). To see this let $f_{n-1}(z) = z^{n-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} a_j z^j$ be a monic polynomial of degree $n-1$ with $\|f_{n-1}\|_p = N$. Then $g(z) = z f_{n-1}(z)$ has at least k zeros in $|z| \leq R(n-1, k-1, p, N)$. Since $g(z)$ is a monic polynomial of degree n with $\|g\|_p = N$ we have

$$R(n, k, p, N) \geq R(n-1, k-1, p, N).$$

This leads us to the conclusion that $R(n, k, p, N) \geq R(n-k+1, 1, p, N)$, and by (6*), (12*) respectively, we get

$$R(n, k, 2, N) \geq (N^2 - 1)^{1/2(n-k+1)}, \quad R(n, k, \infty, N) \geq (N - 1)^{1/(n-k+1)}$$

showing that the bounds for $R(n, k, 2, N)$, $R(n, k, \infty, N)$ obtainable from (13) are not too bad for large N .

With the help of Theorem 1 we obtain a slight improvement of (7) (it is only for sake of simplicity that we restrict ourselves to the case of supremum norm).

Let ζ_1 be a zero of smallest modulus of $f_n(z) = z^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j z^j$. Then by (10) $f_n(z)$ has at least two zeros in

$$|z| \leq (\|f_n\|_\infty - |\zeta_1|)^{1/(n-1)}.$$

On the other hand, if $f_{n-1}(z) = f_n(z)/(z - \zeta_1)$ then by (12), $f_{n-1}(z)$ has at least one and $f_n(z)$ at least two zeros in

$$|z| \leq \left(\frac{\|f_n\|_\infty}{|1 - \zeta_1|} - 1 \right)^{1/(n-1)} \quad (|\zeta_1| \neq 1).$$

Hence, whatever $|\zeta_1|$ may be, $f_n(z)$ has at least two zeros in

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\|f_n\|_\infty - 2) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(\|f_n\|_\infty + 2)^2 - 4} \right\}^{1/(n-1)},$$

i.e. we have

$$\text{Corollary 3. } R(n, 2, \infty, N) \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(N - 2) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(N + 2)^2 - 4} \right\}^{1/(n-1)}.$$

Thus, $(R(n, 2, \infty, N))^{n-1}$ has an upper bound independent of n which we denote by $r_2(N)$ —the subscript 2 refers to 2 zeros. Now suppose that an upper bound $r_k(N)$ (independent of n) for $(R(n, k, \infty, N))^{n-k+1}$ has been found. Then $f_n(z)/(z - \zeta_1)$ has at least k and $f_n(z)$ at least $k + 1$ zeros in

$$D_1(\zeta_1) = \{z: |z| \leq (r_k(N/|1 - \zeta_1|))^{1/(n-k)}\}, \quad (|\zeta_1| \neq 1).$$

On the other hand we may conclude from (10) that $f_n(z)$ has at least $k + 1$ zeros in

$$D_2(\zeta_1) = \{z: |z| \leq (N - |\zeta_1|^k)^{1/(n-k)}\}.$$

Comparing the radii of $D_1(\zeta_1)$ and $D_2(\zeta_1)$ we see that $r_{k+1}(N)$ may be taken to be equal to $N - (\lambda_k(N))^k$ where $\lambda_k(N)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation $r_k(N/(1 - \lambda)) = N - \lambda^k$. Thus

$$R(n, k + 1, \infty, N) \leq (N - (\lambda_k(N))^k)^{1/(n-k)}$$

which is an improvement on (7).

As pointed out in Remark 1 inequality (10) does not hold for $2 < p < \infty$.

Since $\|f_n\|_p$ is a nondecreasing function of p we obtain from Theorem A

$$(|\zeta_1 \zeta_2 \cdots \zeta_{k-1}|^2 + |\zeta_k \zeta_{k+1} \cdots \zeta_n|^2)^{1/2} \leq \|f_n\|_p \quad (2 \leq p < \infty)$$

and in particular

$$(14) \quad R(n, 1, p, N) \leq (N^2 - 1)^{1/2n} \quad (2 \leq p < \infty).$$

Another result like inequality (10) but valid for $1 \leq p < \infty$ is the following.

Theorem 2. *In the notations of Theorem 1 we have for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$*

$$(15) \quad |\zeta_1 \zeta_2 \cdots \zeta_{k-1}| + |\zeta_k \zeta_{k+1} \cdots \zeta_n| \leq \gamma_p^{1/p} \|f_n\|_p$$

where γ_p is given by (11). For $k = 1$ the first term on the left-hand side of (15) is to be replaced by 1.

This result can be deduced from the following lemma (see [5, Theorem 2]) in the same way as Theorem 1 was deduced from (8), (9).

Lemma 1. *If $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ is a polynomial of degree n and a_u, a_v ($u < v$) are two coefficients such that for no other coefficients $a_w \neq 0$ do we have $w \equiv u \pmod{v-u}$, then for every $p \geq 1$, $|a_u| + |a_v| \leq \gamma_p^{1/p} \|f\|_p$ where γ_p is given by (11).*

From (15) it follows that

$$(16) \quad R(n, 1, p, N) \leq (\gamma_p^{1/p} N - 1)^{1/n} \quad (1 \leq p < \infty).$$

The limiting case as $p \rightarrow \infty$ of (16) agrees with (12*). The bound in (16) is attained for $f_n(z) = z^n + e^{i\alpha}$, α real.

Comparing (16) with (12) for $1 < p < 2$ and with (14) for $2 < p < \infty$ it is seen, that in both cases the bound for $R(n, 1, p, N)$ given by (16) is better or worse than the other one depending on the value of N .

We now turn to the study of the location of zeros of a monic polynomial $f_n(z)$ in terms of $\mathfrak{M}_p(f_n)$. As $\mathfrak{M}_2(f_n)$ may be expressed in terms of the moduli of the coefficients in the Legendre-development of $f_n(z)$ regions containing at least k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) zeros of $f_n(z)$ may be obtained from the following (specialized versions of) known results.

Theorem B [8]. *For $f_n(z) = \prod_{\nu=1}^n (z - \zeta_\nu)$ we have*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n \left(\frac{d_n(\zeta_\nu) d_n(\zeta_{\nu-1}) \cdots d_n(\zeta_1)}{\lambda_{\nu-1}} \right)^2 \leq (\mathfrak{M}_2(f_n))^2 - \lambda_n^{-2}$$

where

$$\lambda_0 = 1, \quad \lambda_\nu = \frac{1}{2^\nu} \binom{2\nu}{\nu} \sqrt{2\nu+1} \quad (1 \leq \nu \leq n)$$

and $d_n(z)$ denotes the distance of z from the span of the zeros of the n th Legendre polynomial.

Theorem C [2]. In the notations of Theorem B we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n d_n(\zeta_\nu) \leq ((\mathfrak{M}_2(f_n))^2 - \lambda_n^{-2})^{1/2}.$$

For the purpose of determining the location of k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) zeros of $f_n(z) = z^n + \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} a_\nu z^\nu$ in terms of $\mathfrak{M}_\infty(f_n)$ we prove the following inequality which is somewhat similar to (10).

Theorem 3. Let $f_n(z) = \prod_{\nu=1}^n (z - \zeta_\nu)$ be a real polynomial of degree n which does not change sign on the unit interval. If R_ν denotes the sum of the semi-axes of the ellipse $\mathfrak{E}(R_\nu)$ with foci at $+1, -1$ and passing through the point ζ_ν ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$) then for $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$(17) \quad \frac{R_1 R_2 \cdots R_{k-1}}{R_k R_{k+1} \cdots R_n} + \frac{R_k R_{k+1} \cdots R_n}{R_1 R_2 \cdots R_{k-1}} \leq 2(2^{n-1} \mathfrak{M}_\infty(f_n) - 1).$$

For $k=1$ the product $R_1 R_2 \cdots R_{k-1}$ is to be replaced by 1.

Proof. Under the hypothesis $|f_n(\cos \theta)|$ is a nonnegative trigonometric polynomial of degree n . By a well-known theorem of Fejér and Riesz (see [6, p. 117]) there exists a polynomial $F_n(z) = A_n \prod_{\nu=1}^n (z - Z_\nu)$ with $|Z_\nu| \geq 1$ and $Z_\nu^{-1} + Z_\nu = 2\zeta_\nu$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$) such that

$$(18) \quad |f_n(\cos \theta)| = |F_n(e^{i\theta})|^2 \quad (\theta \text{ real}).$$

Replacing $\cos \theta$ by $\frac{1}{2}(e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta})$ and equating the coefficients of $e^{in\theta}$ on the two sides of (18) we get $1/2^n = |A_n|^2 \prod_{\nu=1}^n |Z_\nu|$. Hence, by Theorem 1 we have

$$|Z_1 Z_2 \cdots Z_{k-1}| + |Z_k Z_{k+1} \cdots Z_n| \leq \left(2^n \prod_{\nu=1}^n |Z_\nu| \right)^{1/2} \|F_n\|_\infty$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{Z_1 Z_2 \cdots Z_{k-1}}{Z_k Z_{k+1} \cdots Z_n} \right| + \left| \frac{Z_k Z_{k+1} \cdots Z_n}{Z_1 Z_2 \cdots Z_{k-1}} \right| \leq 2(2^{n-1} \mathfrak{M}_\infty(f_n) - 1).$$

Inequality (17) follows from this on noting that ζ_ν lies on the ellipse $\mathfrak{E}(|Z_\nu|)$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

Remark 5 (The case of equality in (17)). Taking into account the case of

equality in (10) (as discussed in Remark 2) and the identity (18) we easily see that for $k = 1$ and given $N = \mathfrak{M}_\infty(f_n) \geq 1/2^{n-2}$ equality holds in (17) for

$$(19) \quad f_n(z) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} (T_n(z) \pm (2^{n-1}N - 1))$$

where $T_n(x) = \cos n \arccos x$ is the n th Chebyshev polynomial. If $2 \leq k \leq n$ equality holds only for $f_n(z) = 2^{-(n-1)}(T_n(z) \pm 1)$.

We may apply Theorem 3 to the polynomial $f_n(z)/\sqrt[n]{N}$ of degree $2n$ to obtain the following

Corollary 4. *If $f(z) = \prod_{\nu=1}^n (z - \zeta_\nu)$ is a polynomial of degree n then with R_ν ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$) as defined in Theorem 3 we have for $1 \leq k \leq n$*

$$\frac{R_1 R_2 \cdots R_{k-1}}{R_k R_{k+1} \cdots R_n} + \frac{R_k R_{k+1} \cdots R_n}{R_1 R_2 \cdots R_{k-1}} \leq 2^n \mathfrak{M}_\infty(f_n).$$

For $k = 1$ the product $R_1 R_2 \cdots R_{k-1}$ is to be replaced by 1. Equality holds for $f_n(z) = 2^{-(n-1)} T_n(z)$ where $T_n(z)$ is the n th Chebyshev polynomial.

From Theorem 3 (in conjunction with Remark 5) and Corollary 4 we may deduce the following results.

Corollary 5. *If $\rho^*(n, k, \infty, N)$ denotes the sum of the semiaxes of the ellipse with foci at $+1, -1$ and containing at least k zeros of every real monic polynomial $f_n(z)$ with $\mathfrak{M}_\infty(f_n) = N$ then*

$$(20) \quad \rho^*(n, 1, \infty, N) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 2^{-(n-1)} \leq N \leq 2^{-(n-2)}, \\ (\sqrt{2^{n-2}N} + \sqrt{2^{n-2}N - 1})^{2/n} & \text{for } N \geq 2^{-(n-2)}, \end{cases}$$

where the polynomials $f_n(z)$ given in (19) are extremal.

Corollary 6. *Let $f_n(z) = \prod_{\nu=1}^n (z - \zeta_\nu)$ be a real polynomial which does not change sign in $(-1, 1)$. If $\mathfrak{M}_\infty(f_n) = N$ then $f_n(z)$ has at least k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) zeros in*

$$\mathfrak{E}((\sqrt{2^{n-2}N} + \sqrt{2^{n-2}N - 1})^{2/(n-k+1)}) \quad (N \geq 2^{-(n-2)}).$$

Proof. Let the zeros of $f_n(z)$ be arranged in such a way that the corresponding numbers R_ν are nondecreasing in magnitude and put

$$S = R_n R_{n-1} \cdots R_k \cdot \frac{R_{k-1}}{R_{k-2}} \cdot \frac{R_{k-3}}{R_{k-4}} \cdots \omega,$$

where ω is equal to 1 for $k = 1$ and equal to R_2/R_1 or R_1 according as $k \neq 1$ is odd or even respectively. Since (17) holds for every arrangement of the numbers R_ν we get $S + S^{-1} \leq 2(2^{n-1}N - 1)$. From this Corollary 6 follows on noting

that $R_k^{n-k+1} \leq R_n R_{n-1} \cdots R_k \leq S$. We observe that the monic polynomials $f_n(z)$ having no sign change in $(-1, 1)$ and deviating least from zero on the unit interval are $2^{-(n-1)}(T_n(z) \pm 1)$ with deviation $N = 2^{-(n-2)}$.

In the same way we can deduce from Corollary 4 the following result.

Corollary 7. *If $\rho(n, k, \infty, N)$ is as defined in the beginning of this paper we have*

$$(21) \rho(n, k, \infty, N) \leq \left(2^{n-1}N + \sqrt{(2^{n-1}N)^2 - 1} \right)^{1/(n-k+1)} \quad (N \geq 2^{-(n-1)}).$$

The Corollaries 5–7 add to the information available to us from the work of S. N. Bernšteĭn [1, §5].

REFERENCES

1. S. N. Bernšteĭn and C. de la Vallée Poussin, *L'approximation*, Chelsea, New York, 1970. MR 40 #2511.
2. A. Giroux, *Estimates for the imaginary parts of the zeros of a polynomial*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (1974), 61–67.
3. M. Marden, *Geometry of polynomials*, 2nd ed., Mathematical Surveys, no. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1966. MR 37 #1562.
4. A. M. Ostrowski, *On an inequality of J. Vicente Gonçalves*, Univ. Lisboa Revista Fac. Ci. A (2) 8 (1960), 115–119. MR 26 #2585.
5. Q. I. Rahman, *Inequalities concerning polynomials and trigonometric polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 6 (1963), 303–324. MR 27 #2771.
6. F. Riesz and B. Sz. Nagy, *Leçons d'analyse fonctionnelle*, 2nd ed., Akad. Kiadó, Budapest, 1953; English transl., *Functional analysis*, Ungar, New York, 1955. MR 15, 132; 17, 175.
7. W. Specht, *Algebraische Gleichungen mit reellen oder komplexen Koeffizienten*, Enzyklopädie der math. Wissenschaften: Mit Einschluss ihrer Anwendungen, Band I, 1, Heft 3, Teil II, Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Stuttgart, 1958. MR 21 #5008.
8. ———, unpublished manuscript.
9. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, 2nd ed., Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1939.
10. J. Vicente Gonçalves, *L'inégalité de W. Specht*, Univ. Lisboa Revista Fac. Ci. A (2) 1 (1950), 167–171. MR 12, 605.
11. C. Visser, *A simple proof of certain inequalities concerning polynomials*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. 48, 276–281 = Indag. Math. 7 (1945), 81–86. MR 7, 440.
12. A. Zygmund, *Two notes on inequalities*, J. Math. Phys. Mass. Inst. Tech. 21 (1942), 117–123. MR 4, 135.
13. ———, *Trigonometric series*. Vol. II, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1959. MR 21 #6498.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MONTREAL, MONTREAL, QUEBEC, CANADA (Current address of Q. I. Rahman)

Current address (G. Schmeisser): Mathematisches Institut der Universität, Erlangen-Nürnberg, 852 Erlangen, Bismarckstrasse 1½, West Germany